

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Heath
<b>Given Name</b>	Kelly
<b>Person ID</b>	1287622
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Heath
<b>Given Name</b>	Kelly
<b>Person ID</b>	1287622
<b>Title</b>	JPA 34 M6 Junction 25
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	N/a
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	N/a
<b>Family Name</b>	Heath
<b>Given Name</b>	Kelly
<b>Person ID</b>	1287622
<b>Title</b>	JPA 35: North of Mosley Common
<b>Type</b>	Web

<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>Traffic: The traffic from Astley along Mosley Common Road, Ellenbrook Road and the A580 is already at a maximum capacity at peak times and the roads are over loaded and often at a stand still. There is no viable alternative public transport offering with the Vantage bus scheme already failing to meet demand and being entirely inadequate. The route to Walkden Station is beset by traffic problems and the parking facilities are non existent. Building further houses will only increase the pressure of the traffic and congestion, as well as result in an increase in carbon emissions.</p> <p>2. As above, the additional traffic with not only increase noise and air pollution but the houses will be built in what is currently open aspect fields which is the natural habitat to a wide range of wildlife, including bats and owls. The destruction of habitats will have a devastating environmental impact.</p> <p>3. There has to be some greenbelt areas left in order to counteract the significant development of residential and commercial sites which has already taken place in the area. These areas provide green space for local residents and also contain public rights of way which should be maintained.</p> <p>4. Local schools are already oversubscribed and there isn't sufficient space for expansion. Additional development will not only put further pressure on the schools, thereby reducing the quality of education received but it will also require pupils having to travel outside the area due to over subscription which will result in further traffic pollution.</p> <p>5. Infrastructure - There is already insufficient measures in place to cope with the number of residents in the surrounding area, in particular this will create further pressure on Dr's, dentists - of which there are none in the immediate vicinity, local schools and the transport system.</p> <p>6. The area on which they are proposing to build on already suffers from flooding and water logging. Building further houses will only serve to overload the existing sewers and causes significant problems going forward.</p> <p>7. The addition of these houses will have no benefit to area whatsoever. Instead it will simply be the destruction of much needed green space, increasing traffic on already overloaded roads and further pressure on an infrastructure which is unable to cope.</p> <p>8. This site should be removed from the plan. It is unsuitable and will only result in having a detrimental impact on the area and its residents. Instead, focus should be given to brownfield sites and areas where there is scope to improve the infrastructure and roads.</p>